
Draft

Water Resources Technical Report

Prepared in Support of the
**El Paso–Las Cruces Regional
Sustainable Water Project**



January 2000

CH2MHILL

Contents

Chapter	Page
1.0 Introduction.....	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.2 Purpose	1-1
1.3 Objectives	1-2
1.4 Description of Area of Influence	1-2
1.4.1 General Description	1-5
1.4.2 Man-Made Features	1-6
1.4.3 Natural Features	1-6
1.4.4 Climate.....	1-8
1.4.5 History of Water Development.....	1-8
1.4.6 Rio Grande Coordinating Agencies.....	1-10
1.5 Project Alternatives	1-12
1.5.1 Introduction	1-12
1.5.2 Preferred Alternative–River with Local Plants	1-15
1.5.3 River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative	1-27
1.5.4 River with Combined Plant Alternative	1-28
1.5.5 Aqueduct with Local Plants Alternative.....	1-30
1.5.6 Aqueduct with Combined Plant Alternative	1-31
1.5.7 No Action Alternative	1-32
2.0 Methodology	2-1
2.1 Assumptions and Assessment Guidelines.....	2-1
2.2 Significance Criteria	2-2
2.3 Data Collection and Analysis	2-3
2.3.1 Existing Data Review.....	2-3
2.3.2 Field Studies.....	2-3
2.3.3 Data Analysis	2-3
2.3.4 Agriculture	2-19
2.3.5 Sources of Water for Conversion	2-20
2.3.6 Models Used	2-21
2.3.7 Impact Assessment.....	2-30
3.0 Affected Environment	3-1
3.1 Surface Water	3-1
3.1.1 Reservoirs	3-1
3.1.2 River Corridor.....	3-6
3.1.3 Diversion Dams	3-9
3.1.4 Aqueducts	3-14
3.1.5 Drains	3-16
3.1.6 Tributaries/ Arroyos	3-16
3.1.7 Wastewater Return Flows	3-19

3.2 Ground Water 3-19

 3.2.1 Palomas (Rincón Valley) Aquifer 3-21

 3.2.2 Mesilla Bolson Aquifer System 3-22

 3.2.3 Mesilla Bolson Aquifer 3-22

 3.2.4 Shallow Alluvium Aquifer of the Mesilla Bolson 3-26

 3.2.5 Hueco Bolson Aquifer 3-33

 3.2.6 Shallow Alluvium Aquifer of the Hueco Bolson 3-40

3.3 Agricultural Water Supply and Management 3-46

 3.3.1 Available Water Supply 3-46

 3.3.2 Water Delivery 3-47

 3.3.3 Water Rights 3-48

 3.3.4 Soil Characteristics 3-53

 3.3.5 Cropping Practices 3-55

 3.3.6 Drainage Water Reuse 3-57

 3.3.7 Irrigation Water Quality 3-57

4.0 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation 4-1

 4.1 Potential Issues Eliminated from Further Analysis 4-1

 4.2 Issues Addressed in the Impact Analysis 4-2

 4.3 No Action Alternative 4-3

 4.3.1 Trends 4-3

 4.3.2 Future Conditions 4-6

 4.3.3 Consequences of Not Meeting Project Needs 4-7

 4.4 River with Local Plants (Preferred Alternative) 4-9

 4.4.1 Surface Water Impacts 4-9

 4.4.2 Ground Water Impacts 4-16

 4.4.3 Agricultural Water Supply and Management Impacts 4-29

 4.4.4 Total Water Resources Impacts 4-30

 4.4.5 Mitigation 4-31

 4.4.6 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts 4-31

 4.4.7 Cumulative Impacts 4-31

 4.5 River with Combined Plant 4-119

 4.5.1 Surface Water Impacts 4-119

 4.5.2 Ground Water Impacts 4-121

 4.5.3 Agricultural Water Supply and Management Impacts 4-122

 4.5.4 Total Water Resources Impacts 4-122

 4.5.5 Mitigation 4-123

 4.5.6 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts 4-123

 4.5.7 Cumulative Impacts 4-123

 4.6 River with Year-Round Lower Plants 4-187

 4.6.1 Surface Water Impacts 4-187

 4.6.2 Ground Water Impacts 4-189

 4.6.3 Agricultural Water Supply and Management Impacts 4-189

 4.6.4 Total Water Resources Impacts 4-190

 4.6.5 Mitigation 4-190

 4.6.6 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts 4-191

 4.6.7 Cumulative Impacts 4-191

4.7 Aqueduct with Local Plants or Combined Plant 4-255

 4.7.1 Surface Water Impacts 4-255

 4.7.2 Ground Water Impacts 4-258

 4.7.3 Agricultural Water Supply and Management Impacts 4-260

 4.7.4 Total Water Resources Impacts 4-261

 4.7.5 Mitigation 4-261

 4.7.6 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts 4-261

 4.7.7 Cumulative Impacts 4-261

4.8 Comparison of Alternatives 4-325

 4.8.1 River Flows During Secondary Irrigation Season 4-325

References Cited R-1

Tables	Page
1.1	Project Features Associated with the Action Alternatives..... 1-16
1.2	Primary Design and Operational Characteristics of the Proposed New Hatch Area Water Treatment Plant (WTP) and Associated Facilities during Phases 1, 2, and 3 for the Action Alternatives 1-18
1.3	Primary Design and Operational Characteristics of the Proposed New Las Cruces Area Water Treatment Plant (WTP) and Associated Facilities during Phases 1, 2, and 3 for the Action Alternatives 1-19
1.4	Primary Design and Operational Characteristics of the Proposed New Anthony Area Water Treatment Plant (WTP) and Associated Facilities during Phases 1, 2, and 3 for the Action Alternatives 1-20
1.5	Primary Design and Operational Characteristics of the Proposed New Upper Valley Water Treatment Plant (WTP) and Associated Facilities during Phases 1, 2, and 3 for the Action Alternatives 1-21
1.6	Primary Design and Operational Characteristics of the Proposed Expanded Jonathan Rogers Water Treatment Plant (WTP) and Associated Facilities during Phases 1, 2, and 3 for the Action Alternatives 1-22
1.7	Primary Design and Operational Characteristics of the Existing Canal Water Treatment Plant (WTP) and Associated Facilities during Phases 1, 2, and 3 for the Action Alternatives 1-23
1.8	Estimated Acres of Land that Would be Temporarily Disturbed and Restored or Permanently Disturbed by Project Features during Phase 1 of the Preferred Alternative..... 1-24
1.9	Estimated Acres of Land that Would be Temporarily Disturbed and Restored or Permanently Disturbed by Project Features during Phase 1 of the River with Combined Plant Alternative..... 1-24
1.10	Estimated Acres of Land that Would be Temporarily Disturbed and Restored or Permanently Disturbed by Project Features during Phase 1 of the Aqueduct with Local Plants Alternative 1-25
1.11	Estimated Acres of Land that Would be Temporarily Disturbed and Restored or Permanently Disturbed by Project Features during Phase 1 of the Aqueduct with Combined Plant Alternative..... 1-25
2.1	Conversion Factors 2-1
2.2	Total Volume of Runoff Measured at San Marcial..... 2-4
2.3	Summary of Water Quality Constituent Relationships..... 2-9
2.4	Water Rights' Conversions for the Preferred Alternative, River with Combined Plant Alternative, Aqueduct with Local Plants Alternative, and Aqueduct with Combined Plant Alternative 2-22
2.5	Water Rights' Conversions for the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative 2-24
2.6	Water Quality Inputs to the BESTSM Model..... 2-28
3.1	River Reaches and Locations Defined for the Rio Grande..... 3-3
3.2	Summary of Monthly Storage and Water Surface Elevation in Elephant Butte Reservoir 3-5
3.3	Summary of Monthly Storage and Water Surface Elevation in Caballo Reservoir... 3-7

Tables, continued	Page
3.4 Rio Grande Reaches and Designated Uses in New Mexico	3-8
3.5 Rio Grande Reaches and Designated Uses in Texas	3-8
3.6 Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows in the Rio Grande Baseline.....	3-10
3.7 Rio Grande Average Concentrations of Selected Constituents and Water Quality Parameters	3-11
3.8 Summary of Average Monthly Canal Diversions	3-12
3.9 Summary of Average Monthly Drain Flows	3-17
3.10 Drain Average TDS Concentration.....	3-18
3.11 Wastewater Treatment Plant Effluent to the Rio Grande.....	3-19
3.12 Description of Soil Mapping Units Based on Management Potential	3-54
3.13 Irrigated Acres by County	3-56
4.1 Comparison of Monthly Storage and Water Surface Elevation in Elephant Butte Reservoir for No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred)	4-33
4.2 Comparison of Monthly Storage and Water Surface Elevation in Caballo Reservoir for No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred)	4-34
4.3 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach - No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) - Phase 1	4-35
4.4 Comparison of Average Monthly TDS Concentration by River Reach - No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) - Phase 1	4-43
4.5 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by Drain - No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) - Phase 1	4-49
4.6 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach - No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) - Phase 2.....	4-51
4.7 Comparison of Average Monthly TDS Concentration by River Reach - No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) - Phase 2	4-59
4.8 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by Drain - No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) - Phase 2	4-65
4.9 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach - No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) - Phase 3.....	4-67
4.10 Comparison of Average Monthly TDS Concentration by River Reach - No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) - Phase 3	4-75
4.11 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by Drain - No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) - Phase 3	4-81

Tables, continued	Page
4.12 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Canal Diversions – No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) – Phase 1.....	4-107
4.13 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly TDS Concentration by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) – Phase 1.....	4-109
4.14 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly SAR by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) – Phase 1.....	4-110
4.15 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) – Phase 2.....	4-111
4.16 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly TDS Concentration by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) – Phase 2.....	4-113
4.17 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly SAR by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) – Phase 2.....	4-114
4.18 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) – Phase 3.....	4-115
4.19 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly TDS Concentration by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) – Phase 3.....	4-117
4.20 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly SAR by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Local Plants Alternative (Preferred) – Phase 3.....	4-118
4.21 Comparison of Monthly Storage and Water Surface Elevation in Elephant Butte Reservoir for No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative	4-124
4.22 Comparison of Monthly Storage and Water Surface Elevation in Caballo Reservoir for No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative	4-125
4.23 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 1.....	4-126
4.24 Comparison of Average Monthly TDS Concentration by River Reach – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 1.....	4-134
4.25 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by Drain – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 1.....	4-140
4.26 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 2.....	4-142
4.27 Comparison of Average Monthly TDS Concentration by River Reach – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 2.....	4-150

Tables, continued	Page
4.28 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by Drain – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 2	4-156
4.29 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 3	4-158
4.30 Comparison of Average Monthly TDS Concentration by River Reach – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 3.....	4-166
4.31 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by Drain – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 3	4-172
4.32 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 1	4-174
4.33 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly TDS Concentration by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 1	4-176
4.34 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly SAR by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 1	4-177
4.35 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 2	4-178
4.36 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly TDS Concentration by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 2	4-180
4.37 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly SAR by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 2	4-181
4.38 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Local Plant Alternative – Phase 3	4-182
4.39 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly TDS Concentration by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 3	4-184
4.40 Summary of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly SAR by Canal Diversion – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 3	4-185
4.41 Comparison of Monthly Storage and Water Surface Elevation in Elephant Butte Reservoir for No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative	4-192
4.42 Comparison of Monthly Storage and Water Surface Elevation in Caballo Reservoir for No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative.....	4-193

Tables, continued	Page
4.43 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows - No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 1.....	4-194
4.44 Comparison of Average Monthly TDS Concentration by River Reach Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 1.....	4-202
4.45 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows By Drain Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 1.....	4-208
4.46 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows By River Reach Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 2.....	4-210
4.47 Comparison of Average Monthly TDS Concentration by River Reach Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative-Phase 2.....	4-218
4.48 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows By Drain Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 2.....	4-224
4.49 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows By River Reach Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 3.....	4-226
4.50 Comparison of Average Monthly TDS Concentration by River Reach Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 3.....	4-234
4.51 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows By Drain Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 3.....	4-240
4.52 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows By Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 1.....	4-242
4.53 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly TDS Concentration By Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 1.....	4-244
4.54 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Monthly SAR By Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 1.....	4-245
4.55 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows By Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 2.....	4-246
4.56 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Monthly TDS Concentration By Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 2.....	4-248
4.57 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Monthly SAR By Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 2.....	4-249

Tables, continued	Page
4.58 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows By Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 3	4-250
4.59 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Monthly TDS Concentration By Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 3	4-252
4.60 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Monthly SAR By Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative - Phase 3	4-253
4.61 Comparison of Monthly Storage and Water Surface Elevation in Elephant Butte Reservoir for No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives.....	4-262
4.62 Comparison of Monthly Storage and Water Surface Elevation in Caballo Reservoir for No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives	4-263
4.63 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 1.....	4-264
4.64 Comparison of Average Monthly TDS Concentration by River Reach - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 1.....	4-272
4.65 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by Drain - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 1.....	4-278
4.66 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 2.....	4-280
4.67 Comparison of Average Monthly TDS Concentration by River Reach - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 2.....	4-288
4.68 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by Drain - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 2.....	4-294
4.69 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 3.....	4-296
4.70 Comparison of Average Monthly TDS Concentration by River Reach - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 3.....	4-304
4.71 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by Drain - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 3.....	4-310
4.72 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows By Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives -Phase 1	4-312

Tables, continued	Page
4.73 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly TDS Concentration by Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 1.....	4-314
4.74 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly SAR by Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 1	4-315
4.75 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 2	4-316
4.76 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly TDS Concentration by Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 2.....	4-318
4.77 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly SAR by Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 2	4-319
4.78 Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Canal Diversions - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 3	4-320
4.79 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly TDS Concentration by Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 3.....	4-322
4.80 Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average Monthly SAR by Canal Diversion - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 3	4-323
4.81 Comparison of Secondary Irrigation Season Flows, Losses, and Water Quality at Canal and Jonathan Rogers WTPs.....	4-326

Figures	Page
2.1 TDS vs SAR in the Rio Grande	2-11
2.2 TDS vs Sodium (Na) in the Rio Grande	2-12
2.3 TDS vs Chloride (Cl) in the Rio Grande.....	2-13
2.4 TDS vs Sulfate (SO ₄) in the Rio Grande	2-14
2.5 TDS/Specific Conductance Relationship Using Data from Rio Grande at El Paso and Fort Quitman.....	2-15
3.1 Schematic of the Rio Grande under Baseline Conditions.....	3-4
3.2 Approximate Water-Quality Zones in the Mesilla Valley Shallow Aquifer and Mesilla Bolson, Near Mesilla Dam.....	3-25
3.3 Rio Grande Stage at the Las Cruces Hydrologic Section and Water Levels in Nearby Observation Wells.....	3-28
3.4 Hydrographs of Observation Wells Near Berino, 1973-76	3-29
3.5 Annual February Water Levels in Selected Observation Wells in the Mesilla Valley, 1946-1985	3-30
3.6 Areas of Greatest Public Supply and Irrigation Pumpage, Hueco Bolson.....	3-35
3.7 Hydrographs of Four Wells in the Hueco Bolson Aquifer.....	3-38
3.8 Water Level Decline in the Hueco Bolson Aquifer from 1903 to 1989.....	3-39
3.9 Hydrographs of Wells in the Shallow Alluvium Aquifer of the Hueco Bolson in the El Paso Valley	3-42
3.10 Hydrographs of Shallow Observation Wells, Shallow Alluvium Aquifer of the Hueco Bolson in the El Paso Valley	3-43
3.11 Ground Water Quality in the Shallow Alluvium Aquifer of the Hueco Bolson in the El Paso Valley Within the Depth Interval 0-200 Feet	3-45
4.1 Change in Average Flow - No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative - Phase 1	4-40
4.2 Change in Average Wet Year Flow - No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative - Phase 1	4-41
4.3 Change in Average Dry Year Flow - No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative - Phase 1	4-42
4.4 Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years - No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative - Phase 1.....	4-47
4.5 Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years - No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative - Phase 1.....	4-48
4.6 Change in Average Flow - No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative - Phase 2	4-56
4.7 Change in Average Wet Year Flow - No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative - Phase 2	4-57
4.8 Change in Average Dry Year Flow - No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative - Phase 2	4-58
4.9 Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years - No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative - Phase 2.....	4-63
4.10 Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years - No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative - Phase 2.....	4-64
4.11 Change in Average Flow - No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative - Phase 3	4-72

Figures, continued	Page
4.12 Change in Average Wet Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 3	4-73
4.13 Change in Average Dry Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 3	4-74
4.14 Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 3	4-79
4.15 Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 3	4-80
4.16 Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Phase 1, Layer 1	4-83
4.17 Las Cruces Area: No Action vs. Preferred Alternative, Phase 1, Layer 1	4-84
4.18 Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Phase 1, Layer 2	4-85
4.19 Las Cruces Area: No Action vs. Preferred Alternative, Phase 1, Layer 2	4-86
4.20 Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Phase 1, Layer 2	4-87
4.21 Las Cruces Area: No Action vs. Preferred Alternative, Phase 1, Layer 3	4-88
4.22 Water Level Increase vs. Distance Typical ASR Well, Based on 500 gpm Injection, 10 years.....	4-89
4.23 Water Level Increase vs. Distance Typical ASR Well, Based on 500 gpm Injection, 10 years.....	4-90
4.24 Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Phase 2, Layer 1	4-91
4.25 Las Cruces Area: No Action vs. Preferred Alternative, Phase 2, Layer 1	4-92
4.26 Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Phase 2, Layer 2	4-93
4.27 Las Cruces Area, No Action vs. Preferred Alternative, Phase 2, Layer 2	4-94
4.28 Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Phase 2, Layer 3	4-95
4.29 Las Cruces Area: No Action vs. Preferred Alternative, Phase 2, Layer 3	4-96
4.30 Water Level Increase vs. Distance Typical ASR Well, Based on 500 gpm Injection, 20 years.....	4-97
4.31 Water Level Increase vs. Distance Typical ASR Well, Based on 500 gpm Injection, 20 years.....	4-98
4.32 Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Phase 3, Layer 1	4-99
4.33 Las Cruces Area: No Action vs. Preferred Alternative, Phase 3, Layer 1	4-100
4.34 Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Phase 3, Layer 2	4-101
4.35 Las Cruces Area: No Action vs. Preferred Alternative, Phase 3, Layer 2	4-102
4.36 Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Phase 3, Layer 3	4-103
4.37 Las Cruces Area: No Action vs. Preferred Alternative, Phase 3, Layer 3	4-104
4.38 Water Level Increase vs. Distance Typical ASR Well, Based on 500 gpm Injection, 30 years.....	4-105

Figures, continued	Page
4.39 Water Level Increase vs. Distance Typical ASR Well, Based on 500 gpm Injection, 30 years	4-106
4.40 Change in Average Flow – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 1	4-131
4.41 Change in Average Wet Year Flow – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 1.....	4-132
4.42 Change in Average Dry Year Flow – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 1.....	4-133
4.43 Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 1	4-138
4.44 Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 1.....	4-139
4.45 Change in Average Flow – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative– Phase 2	4-147
4.46 Change in Average Wet Year Flow – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 2.....	4-148
4.47 Change in Average Dry Year Flow – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 2.....	4-149
4.48 Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 2.....	4-154
4.49 Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 2.....	4-155
4.50 Change in Average Flow – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 3	4-163
4.51 Change in Average Wet Year Flow – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 3.....	4-164
4.52 Change in Average Dry Year Flow – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 3.....	4-165
4.53 Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 3.....	4-170
4.54 Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years – No Action Alternative and River with Combined Plant Alternative – Phase 3.....	4-171
4.55 Change in Average Flow Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 1.....	4-199
4.56 Change in Average Wet Year Flow Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 1	4-200
4.57 Change in Average Dry Year Flow Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 1.....	4-201
4.58 Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 1	4-206
4.59 Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 1	4-207
4.60 Change in Average Flows Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 2.....	4-215

Figures, continued	Page
4.61 Change in Average Wet Year Flow Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 2	4-216
4.62 Change in Average Dry Year Flow Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 2	4-217
4.63 Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 2.....	4-222
4.64 Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 2.....	4-223
4.65 Change in Average Flow Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 3	4-231
4.66 Change in Average Wet Year Flow Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 3.....	4-232
4.67 Change in Average Dry Year Flow Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 3.....	4-233
4.68 Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 3.....	4-238
4.69 Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 3.....	4-239
4.70 Change in Average Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 1.....	4-269
4.71 Change in Average Wet Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 1	4-270
4.72 Change in Average Dry Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 1	4-271
4.73 Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 1	4-276
4.74 Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 1	4-277
4.75 Change in Average Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives– Phase 2.....	4-285
4.76 Change in Average Wet Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 2	4-286
4.77 Change in Average Dry Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 2	4-287
4.78 Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternative – Phase 2.....	4-292
4.79 Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 2.....	4-293

Figures, continued	Page
4.80 Change in Average Flow - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 3	4-301
4.81 Change in Average Wet Year Flow - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternative - Phase 3.....	4-302
4.82 Change in Average Dry Year Flow - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 3	4-303
4.83 Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 3	4-308
4.84 Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years - No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives - Phase 3	4-309

Maps	Page
1.1 General Study Area	1-3
1.2 Location of the Study Area.....	1-4
1.3 Principle Irrigation Structures.....	1-7
3.1 River Reaches	3-2
3.2 Location of Aquifers in the Study Area.....	3-20

Appendices

- Appendix A: Description of Alternatives (Chapter 2, DEIS)
- Appendix B: Annual Peak Flows for Various Return Periods
- Appendix C: Exceedance Flows
- Appendix D: Flow–Duration Curves
- Appendix E: Exposed Shoreline and Bar Areas
- Appendix F: Exceedance TDS Concentration
- Appendix G: Exceedance Sulfate Concentration
- Appendix H: Exceedance Chloride Concentration
- Appendix I: Exceedance Sodium Concentration
- Appendix J: TDS Violations
- Appendix K: Sulfate Violations
- Appendix L: Surface Water Supply Availability for WTPs